

Next-Generation Sequencing Applications Complement Traditional Cytogenetic Methods

Genomic analysis of tumors provides comprehensive detection of genetic abnormalities.

Introduction

Accurate and efficient assessment of genetic variants in cancer research is important, yet not always straightforward. Various types of causative abnormalities have been identified, including chromosomal rearrangements, small insertions and deletions (indels), point mutations, and epigenetic alterations. Specific biomarkers may be highly represented in certain neoplasms calling for specific assays. But for many neoplasms, multiple genetic abnormalities can occur simultaneously, and heterogeneity adds further challenges to analysis.

While single-gene tests provide a quick answer in some cases, negative results may necessitate sequential testing that delays a conclusive result. Newer methods in genomic technologies provide more comprehensive testing by casting a wider net in search of causative variants. Also, they can complement single-gene assays by providing more specific information about the abnormality, or identifying additional genetic variants for monitoring later in the cycle of tumor progression.

When preliminary evidence indicates a cytogenetic abnormality as a likely cause of a neoplasm, fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) is often used in the first round of testing. FISH results can be obtained quickly, which is critical when information is needed to make informed decisions in a timely manner. However, negative FISH results necessitate sequential testing for alternate candidate targets, increasing the amount of lab work, and the total time required to yield meaningful answers. In these cases NGS complements FISH well. Performing both methods simultaneously could potentially reach desired answers more quickly.

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) is widely used by cancer researchers for oncology profiling, for its ability to identify multiple types of abnormalities, and sequence many genes simultaneously. NGS applications provide single nucleotide resolution with accuracy, sensitivity, and scalability. NGS works with DNA or RNA, depending on the questions being asked. These features increase the chances of obtaining answers within the first round of testing. NGS applications range from sequencing the entire genome to analyzing only a handful of genes. Targeted sequencing applications on a desktop sequencer enable the researcher to focus only on disease-related genes. Advantages of targeted sequencing include simplified analysis, speed, and higher sequencing depth on a selected set of genes, allowing detection of abnormalities present at a low level in heterogeneous samples.

This application spotlight reviews examples of neoplasms that pose challenges in sample analysis due to their variable characteristics, and describes how NGS offers flexibility that can complement cytogenetic assays. Together, these approaches provide a more comprehensive approach than either assay alone.

Myelodysplastic Syndromes (MDS)

MDS is a disease in which abnormal numbers of new blood cells are produced in the bone marrow. MDS progresses to acute myeloid leukemia (AML) in about 44% of cases.¹ The World Health Organization classifies MDS types according to phenotypes such as dysplasias, cytopenias, and anemias; preliminary characterization is often followed by cytogenetic or molecular analyses.²⁻⁴ MDS has been associated with various types of abnormalities, including chromosomal rearrangements, single nucleotide variations, and epigenetic alterations.⁴⁻⁷ No single method can detect all these abnormality types with efficiency, and, often, it is appropriate to use both NGS and FISH in a complementary manner to identify the relevant abnormality.

The most common chromosomal abnormalities found in MDS are the interstitial deletion of chromosome 5, monosomy 7, and trisomy 8. Cytogenetic studies are sufficient for identifying these abnormalities, but individually these genetic variations are found in only 10–20% of MDS cases.³⁻⁵ Furthermore, studies have reported that at least 1 mutation occurs in 70–90% of MDS cases,⁵⁻⁷ while 43% of cases have 2 or more abnormalities that are likely to be single nucleotide changes or small indels (Figure 1).⁶ Because no specific abnormality is represented in a majority of MDS patients, sequential single-gene assays represent an inefficient approach to finding an abnormality in a given sample.

Labs that provide services for analyzing MDS samples have traditionally offered cytogenetic testing, immunohistochemistry, and flow cytometry. More recently, some labs have added molecular testing to improve risk stratification methods.⁸ The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines suggest molecular analysis for specific genes in various cases.⁹ Some phenotypes, such as thrombocytosis, are often associated with *JAK2* mutations, and, therefore, molecular analysis of this gene is recommended. Varying levels of risk are associated with mutations in other genes. For patients that have a confirmed diagnosis of MDS, molecular analysis is recommended for *TP53*, *ASXL1*, *ETV6*, *RUNX1*, *SF3B1*, and *EZH2*.⁹ Although these genes are among the most commonly associated with MDS, many more mutations have been found in MDS cases.⁵⁻⁷ Fortunately, DNA sequencing panels can simultaneously assess many of the genes that are commonly associated with a given disease,¹⁰ and increase the chances for identifying the relevant mutation in the first round of testing.

Molecular analyses have increasingly been used to identify abnormalities in MDS that cause changes in gene expression levels. For example, mutations in spliceosome-related genes are found in about 45% of MDS cases.⁶ Epigenetic changes are more abundant in high-risk cases of MDS, with 70% showing aberrant DNA methylation in genes related to cell growth.⁶ RNA sequencing with NGS provides



